UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

v

13 GLEN HUNSBERGER, et. al.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. 2:14-CR-00328-KJD-NJK

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Order and Report and Recommendation (#198) of Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe entered September 23, 2016, recommending that Defendant Glen Hunsberger's Motion to Suppress (#181) be denied. Defendants Jennifer Peskett, Jason Monteiro and Anthony Navarro also joined in the motion to suppress. See Order (#191/193). In accordance with Local Rule IB 3-2 ("LR IB 3-2"), Defendant Anthony Navarro filed Objections (#200) to the Report and Recommendation. Defendant Jason Monteiro filed a Motion for Joinder (#201) to Navarro's objections. Defendant Monteiro's motion for joinder is granted. Additionally, Defendant Glen Hunsberger filed objections (#203). The Government filed a response (#204) to the objections. The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 28

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Report and Recommendation (#198) of the United States Magistrate Judge entered September 23, 2016, should be **ADOPTED** and

AFFIRMED with some modification. To the extent that Defendant Hunsberger asserts a possessory 1 interest in the package at issue, he has standing to contest the search and seizure. However, such an 3 interest only adds to the totality-of-the-circumstances which justify the magistrate judge's finding of 4 probable cause to issue the warrant. Further, the alleged "misrepresentations" regarding the postal 5 investigator affiant's training and experience, were not misrepresentations, or omissions that justify a Franks hearing. Further, failing to mention that no charges had been filed following Hunsberger's 6 7 arrest for possession of narcotics was not misleading or false. Defendant has not disputed the truth of 8 the allegation that he was arrested as asserted in the affidavit. While Defendants would like the Court 9 to parse the allegations in the affidavit and discount them individually, the correct approach is to 10 consider the "totality-of-the-circumstances" and uphold the magistrate judge's decision to issue the 11 warrant where he or she "had substantial basis for . . . concluding that probable cause to search 12 existed." Illinois v. Gates, 462 U.S. 213, 244-46 (1983) (internal quotations omitted). Here, 13 considering all of the facts in the affidavit, the magistrate judge correctly concluded that probable 14 cause existed. 15 Accordingly, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Order and Report 16 and Recommendation (#198) entered September 23, 2016, is ADOPTED and AFFIRMED as 17 **modified**, and Defendants' Motion to Suppress Evidence (#181) is **DENIED**; 18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Objections (#200/203) are **DENIED**; 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Joinder (#201) is **GRANTED.** DATED this 2nd day of March 2017. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Kent J. Dawson

United States District Judge